

# Portfolio Commentary

## **Market Update**

U.S. equities entered correction territory in 1Q25 after notching two robust years of strong gains. Macro risks returned to the forefront of investors' minds as tariff and austerity uncertainties compounded stubbornly weak economic growth and a return of the inflation specter. Broader market weakness was driven by negative earnings revisions, declining consumer and business confidence, and no change in monetary policy from the Federal Reserve. For the quarter, the broader market, as measured by the Russell 3000 Index, declined 4.7%. A faltering Artificial Intelligence growth narrative allowed for a broadening of market leadership. Value styles led Growth, and Large Caps outperformed Small Caps. Turning to market factors, Value and Yield factors posted the strongest returns. Most of the Quality factors outperformed as well. Growth, Volatility (high beta), and Momentum factors had a negative impact.

## **Key Performance Takeaways**

The London Company Mid Cap portfolio declined 4.3% (-4.5% net) during the quarter vs. a 3.4% decrease in the Russell Midcap Index. Sector exposure was a headwind to relative performance, partially offset by positive stock selection.

The Mid Cap portfolio trailed its benchmark in Q1, and came up short of our 75-80% downside capture expectations. The portfolio was plagued by sector headwinds, and our structural underexposure to high-momentum names, which had rallied sharply through year-end before pulling back in March, created a further headwind. A few idiosyncratic detractors emerged, but we do not see a unifying theme or breakdown. Rather, the quarter was a reflection of a market trying to sort out what matters most in a higher-volatility regime.

## **Top 3 Contributors to Relative Performance**

Otis Worldwide Corportion (OTIS) – Despite tough 4Q24 earnings, OTIS shares rallied in the first quarter due to its low exposure to tariffs/global trade war risks. Our conviction in the stock reflects the company's strong execution in the China market despite macro headwinds and the oligopolistic nature of the elevator and escalator industry.

Crown Castle International Corp (CCI) – CCI was a top performer this quarter after announcing the results for the fiber and small cell transaction. CCI will now be a U.S.-focused Tower company. The tower business is expected to grow ~5% over the long term and Tower margins have room for improvement. We like CCI's stable revenue stream, long-term tailwinds on growth in data consumption, and its ability to return cash to shareholders through its dividend policy.

AerCap Holdings (AER) - AER shares performed well this quarter after reporting solid 4Q24 results. We believe the company is sitting in the enviable position of owning the largest portfolio of aircraft in a seller's market. The company should be able to continue growing book value per share by selling off certain assets and buying back stock.

## Top 3 Detractors from Relative Performance

Skyworks Solutions, Inc. (SWKS) – SWKS shares underperformed after it announced it would be losing sole control of an iPhone 17 component to a rival, cutting Apple revenue by ~13%, though Apple still drives ~70% of its sales. We still like SWKS for its tech strength, strong balance sheet, and capital allocation strategy.

Moelis & Co. Class A (MC) – MC shares were weak, reflecting uncertainty in the market, impacting the M&A environment. We continue to like MC's debt-free balance sheet, strong shareholder focus, and willingness to reinvest in the business.

Bruker Corporation (BRKR) – BRKR shares lagged this quarter due to uncertainties over NIH funding and tariffs. The CEO stepped up and bought more shares amid the weakness. We remain optimistic about BRKR for its vital role in life sciences research, a global economic priority, supported by its robust competitive stance, innovative products, strategic capital allocation, and capable leadership.





### Sector Influence

We are bottom-up stock pickers, but sector exposures influenced relative performance as follows:

- What Helped: Underweight position in Information Technology (a weaker performing sector) and an overweight position in Consumer Staples (a better performing sector)
- What Hurt: Underweight position in both Utilities and Energy (two better performing sectors)

## **Trades During the Quarter**

- Initiated: Ally Financial Inc (ALLY) Largest digital-only bank in the U.S., best known for its strong position in auto lending. It focuses on prime borrowers, making its loan book higher quality than many competitors. With a new leadership team in place, ALLY is streamlining operations, cutting costs, and focusing on its most profitable areas. While current earnings are lower than normal, we believe the credit performance of ALLY's auto loan book should improve reflecting higher net interest margin and cost controls. As conditions normalize, ALLY could resume stock buybacks and deliver meaningful earnings growth. We see this as a compelling opportunity to invest in a quality business at a discount, with clear catalysts that could drive solid returns over the next few years.
- Increased: Entegris, Inc. (ENTG) Added to position following weakness in the shares. ENTG as been a strong long-term compounder, and is a market leader in the production of consumables used in the chip manufacturing process. It has been weak recently due to a combination of investors taking some gains in the name and a slowdown in wafer starts last calendar year. We maintain high conviction in ENTG and believe the company is well positioned for greater capex spending on semiconductor equipment in the years ahead. ENTG has a strong ROIC and consistent margin expansion. Any increase in node transitions leading to greater wafer starts (80% of ENTG sales are unit driven) and/or any improvement in the auto/industrial verticals should drive growth in the future.
- Reduced: Amphenol Corporation (APH) Trimmed position on strength due to valuation. We remain confident in the outlook for the business, but elected to pair back the position due to elevated valuation.

- Reduced: CBRE Group, Inc. (CBRE) Trimmed position after a solid performance from the stock in 2024. We maintain a positive view of the company, but wanted to maintain the position size close to 3% of the portfolio.
- Exited: BellRing Brands, Inc. (BRBR) Sold the position following strength in the shares in 2023 & 2024. The stock was a spin off from Post Holdings, but at over 21x EV/EBITDA we felt it was prudent to sell.

## **Looking Ahead**

There is elevated uncertainty as we start the second quarter with a high likelihood of greater tariffs being announced in the weeks ahead. Consumer confidence has declined recently due to the risks of additional tariffs, but the broader economic data still supports growth in the near term, but growth is decelerating. While we are not predicting a recession, the odds of a recession have increased. In terms of monetary policy, the Fed appears to be on a steady course for two or three rate cuts later in the year. The effect of tariffs on both inflation and the broader economy could change those plans though.

Despite the recent correction, the market concentration and valuations remain elevated. History shows that transitions following peak market concentration (e.g. the Nifty Fifty or the Tech Bubble) tend to play out over multiple years—not quarters. The cap-weighted indices may continue to face pressure as investors reassess stretched valuations and excessive positioning. We believe that equity returns in the near term may be modest, with shareholder yield (dividends, share repurchase, debt reduction) comprising a significant percentage of the total return from equities. Defensive portfolios such as ours should be favored areas for investors if recession concerns remain or materialize. Importantly, Quality factors have historically posted their best relative returns during periods of decelerating growth and through recessions. Quality factors typically lag in the early days of a recovery and keep pace during the mid-cycle years. We may be late in the economic cycle now. If that is correct, it bodes well for the relative performance of Quality factors over the next few years and for our portfolios.

#### **Annualized Returns** As of 3/31/2025

	QTD	1Y	3Y	5Y	10Y	ITD
Mid Cap (Gross)	-4.3%	-4.6%	7.6%	14.3%	10.1%	12.1%
Mid Cap (Net)	-4.5%	-5.3%	6.8%	13.5%	9.3%	11.3%
Russell Midcap	-3.4%	2.6%	4.6%	16.3%	8.8%	10.9%



#### **Disclosure Notes**

The London Company's performances are size weighted and annualized based on calculations for the period ending March 31, 2025. The characteristics discussed herein relate to a representative account, and not every client's account will have these exact characteristics. As London manages its client portfolios according to each client's specific investment needs and circumstances, London cannot affirm that the characteristics of the account shown are similar to all accounts participating in the strategy. This is due in part to the timing of trades by the Advisor, market conditions, cash availability, and the timing of client deposits and withdrawals. Therefore, prospective clients should not assume that similar performance results to those shown would have been achieved for their accounts had they been invested in the strategy during the period. None of the information contained herein should be construed as an offer to buy or sell securities, or as investment recommendations.

Definition of Firm: The London Company of Virginia is a registered investment advisor. Registration does not imply a certain level of skill or training. More information about the advisor, including full descriptions of its investment strategies, fees and objectives, can be found in the firm's Form ADV Part 2, which is available upon request by calling 804.775.0317 or visiting www.TLCadvisory.com. The London Company claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®). GIPS® is a registered trademark of CFA Institute. CFA Institute does not endorse or promote this organization, nor does it warrant the accuracy or quality of the content contained herein. Please visit www.TLCadvisory.com or contact us at 804.775.0317 to request a complete list and description of The London Company's composites and/or a GIPS® Report that adheres to the (GIPS®) standards.

Composite Creation/Inception Date: March 31, 2012

Composite Definition: The Mid Cap equity strategy invests mainly in conservative, low-beta, mid-cap equities with a focus on above-average downside protection. Primarily, we seek profitable, financially stable mid-cap companies that consistently generate free cash flow, high returns on unleveraged operating capital, trade at significant discounts to their intrinsic values, and are run by shareholder-oriented management. Positions are usually within the market capitalization range of the major, domestic mid-cap indices. Accounts in this product composite are fully discretionary taxable and tax-exempt portfolios with a minimum of \$100,000 in assets. This product is measured against the Russell Midcap Index and has a creation and inception date of March 31, 2012. There is no use of leverage, derivatives, or short positions. All actual fee-paying discretionary portfolios are included in one or more composites that have been managed for a full calendar quarter with limited restrictions and similar objectives. As of July 1, 2022 The London Company redefined the composites to exclude all dual contract relationships and any potentially bundled fee scenarios.

Benchmark Description: Primary: Russell Midcap Index measures the performance of the mid-cap segment of the U.S. equity universe. The Russell Midcap is a subset of the Russell 1000 Index. It includes approximately 800 of the smallest securities based on a combination of their market cap and current index membership. Secondary: Russell Midcap Value Index measures the performance of the mid-cap segment of the U.S. equity universe. It includes those Russell Midcap Index companies with lower price-to-book ratios and lower forecasted growth values. Benchmark returns are not covered by the report of independent verifiers.

Performance and Fees: Gross of fee returns are calculated gross of management and custodian fees and net of transaction costs. Net of fee returns are calculated net of a model fee of 0.75% and transaction cost and gross of custodian and other fees. The 0.75% model London Company management fee applied is the highest tier of the current composite fee schedule. This fee is applied monthly to the gross return at 1/12th the annual rate, which is 0.0625% per month. Actual investment advisory fees incurred by clients may vary. Returns may be net of miscellaneous fund expenses. The gross figures do not reflect the deduction of investment advisory fees. Returns are calculated and stated in U.S. dollars. Prior to April 1, 2024 returns are calculated gross of withholding taxes on foreign dividends and interest. Starting April 1, 2024, performance is calculated net or gross of foreign withholding taxes on dividends and interest income dependent on custodian data. Dividends are reinvested. Policies for valuing investments, calculating performance, and preparing GIPS Reports are available upon request.

Past performance should not be taken as a guarantee of future results. The report is for informational purposes only. Data, while obtained from sources we believe to be reliable, cannot be guaranteed and all statistics are subject to change. The statements contained herein are solely based upon the opinions of The London Company and the data available at the time of publication of this report, and there is no assurance that any predicted results will actually occur. Information was obtained from third-party sources, which we believe to be reliable but are not guaranteed as to their accuracy or completeness. This report contains no recommendations to buy or sell any specific securities and should not be considered investment advice of any kind. An investment in a London Company strategy is subject to risks, including the loss of principal. Referenced strategies may not be suitable for all investors. The appropriateness of a particular strategy will depend on individual circumstances and objectives. In making an investment decision, individuals should utilize other information sources and the advice of their investment advisor.